1 2 3 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 6 AT SEATTLE 7 8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CASE NO. CR96-357RSL 9 10 Plaintiff, PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND DETERMINATION 11 AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS v. OF SUPERVISED RELEASE 12 RICHARD P. WILSON, 13 Defendant. 14 INTRODUCTION 15 I conducted a hearing on alleged violations of supervised release in this case on June 16 29, 2006. The United States was represented by Sue Harrison. The defendant was 17 represented by Carol Koller. The proceedings were recorded. 18 **CONVICTION AND SENTENCE** 19 Defendant had been convicted of Making, Uttering, and Possessing a Counterfeit 20 Security on or about July 19, 1996. The Hon. Carolyn R. Dimmick of this court sentenced 21 Defendant to 24 months of confinement, followed by 3 years of supervised release. The 22 case has been transferred to Chief Judge Robert S. Lasnik. 23 The conditions of supervised release included requirements that defendant comply 24 with the standard 13 conditions. 25 **DEFENDANT'S ADMISSION** 26 USPO John Vanderwulp alleged that Defendant violated the conditions of supervised 2.7 28

PROPOSED FINDINGS PAGE -1-

1

release in eight (8) respects:

2

(1) Failing to report for urinalysis testing as directed on December 18, 2000; January 2, 17, 19, 25, 31, 2001; February 1, 6, 13, 21, 26, 2001; and March

4

1, 2001; in violation of the special condition of supervised release;

5

(2) Failing to make restitution payments for the months of January and February 2001, in violation of the special condition of his supervised release;

7

(3) Failing to report to his Probation Officer on March 5, 2001, as directed, in violation of standard condition number 2;

9

(4) Failing to provide monthly reports for the months of January and February, 2001, in violation of standard condition number 2;

10 11

(5) Failing to report a change of residence, in violation of standard condition

12

number 6;

13 14 (6)

(7)

(8)

Assaulting Angel D. Kelly on or about December 30, 2000, in violation of the condition of supervised released that he shall not commit another federal,

15

state, or local crime;

16 17 Possessing a stolen credit card on March 26, 2001, in violation of the condition of supervised release that he shall not commit another federal, state

18

or local crime; and

19 20 Violating a No-Contact Order on March 15, 2001, in violation of the condition of supervised release that he shall not commit another federal, state, or local

21

crime.

22

At an initial hearing, I advised the defendant of these charges and of his constitutional rights. At today's hearing Defendant admitted the violations Nos. 1-5, 7, and violation No.

2324

8 (as amended above), waived any hearing as to whether they occurred, and the

25

Government agreed to withdraw violation No.6. The matter will be set for a disposition

26

hearing before the Hon. Robert S. Lasnik.

27

//

28

PROPOSED FINDINGS PAGE -2-

RECOMMENDED FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

Based upon the foregoing, I recommend the court find that Defendant has violated the conditions of his supervised release as alleged; and set the matter for a disposition hearing.

Defendant has been detained pending a final determination by the court.

DATED this 30th day of June, 2006.

U.S. Magistrate Judge

cc: Sentencing Judge : Hon. Robert S. Lasnik

Assistant U.S. Attorney : Sue Harrison
Defense Attorney : Carol Koller
U. S. Probation Officer : John Vanderwulp

PROPOSED FINDINGS PAGE -3-